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Abstract
A distinctive bramble, whose identity has puzzled experts, is described as a new microspecies, *Rubus longiflorus* D. Welch. It is characterised by large showy white flowers, deeply grooved, glabrous, few-prickled stems, and leaves that have grey-felted undersides. It is considered intermediate between the Discolores series and Corylifolian section of brambles, and is tentatively assigned to the Rhamnifolii series. The new taxon occurs along an 80 km stretch of coastal lowlands in the Kincardineshire and Angus vice-counties.
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Introduction
Soon after the publication of a large handbook describing all then-known British brambles (Edees & Newton, 1988), came a series of papers adding new species. In one of these (Newton & Porter, 1994), there was a comment that Sect. Corylifolii was a very neglected grouping within the *Rubus* genus, quoting from Edees (1975) that for this section “many more taxa needed to be described .... before a comprehensive account can be written”. But just four new Corylifolian species were added to the British and Irish list between the 1988 handbook and a bramble atlas published 16 years later (Newton & Randall, 2004); this increased the section total to 24 species.

In Scotland only one of these newly added Corylifolian species occurred, and there was a similar lack of brambles with Scottish occurrences among the other 27 new *Rubus* species added to the British and Irish list up to 2004. Candidate brambles for naming are certainly known in Scotland, but the criteria for elevating unnamed types to species that have been generally applied by bramble researchers over recent decades – occurrence in more than one vice-county and in several hecarts – are hard to satisfy. There is less low-altitude ground suitable for brambles in Scotland, and researchers have been quite few and more concerned with general recording in their vice-counties. Now with a break from this work, I describe here a distinctive possible Corylifolian that occurs over an 80 km range from Dundee to Stonehaven. I call the species *Rubus longiflorus*, prompted by its racemes being longer and more spectacular than those of other bramble species in the area.

The first definite sightings of a *R. longiflorus* bush were made independently by myself and George Ballantyne (GB) at Catterline in Kincardineshire (v.c.91). The bush lay close to the track descending a steep brae to the shore, and its large flowers doubtless drew our attention. We both had sent sheets to Alan Newton (AN) for determination, and only in 2000 did we realise the connection and confer. My sheets collected in 1996 had been given as "cf rhombifolius" by AN, whereas GB reported about his sending (letter 25
Sept 2000) that “Alan couldn’t make much of it, suggesting it might be a hybrid between *ulmifolius* and something else (*? latifolius)*”. GB added “I wasn’t convinced ... as it looked a perfectly good species to me”.

I too was not convinced about “cf *rhombifolius*” since the specimens differed substantially from a big bush of *rhombifolius* at Maud in N. Aberdeenshire (v.c.93), which I had taken AN to see when we spent a few days together in July 1996 touring difficult and interesting brambles in NE Scotland. Moreover, I had observed a spectacular 80 m length of brambles along a road to the coast at Crawton (NO88), 2 km north of Catterline, which I felt was the same taxon as the Catterline bush. So I sent sheets from Crawton collected in August 2000 to AN in that summer’s parcel, together with a silhouette of the 1996 Catterline specimen. This silhouette came back marked “certainly approaches Crawton plants” but the Crawton sheets were surprisingly named *hebridensis* by AN. In later discussions with GB, we felt AN had considered *hebridensis* as one parent in a hybrid. But unfortunately a hectad dot for *hebridensis* in NO88 appeared in the *Rubus* Atlas (Newton & Randall, 2004); when this record later appeared in the BSBI Distribution Database (DDb), I rejected it.

In 2001 I decided to enlist other expert opinion, and sent a parcel with both Catterline and Crawton sheets to David Allen (DA). His verdict (letter 18 Sept 2001) was “clearly the same – they defeat me” “nothing like *rhombifolius* at least as I know that. Perhaps *R. hebridensis* x *latifolius*, which could account for the ultra-large flowers. A specimen retained for BM”. Also in that summer GB returned to bushes in the Dundee area that had puzzled him, but which he thought could be the same as the v.c.91 bushes, and sent sheets to AN receiving a verdict: *R. anglocandicans*. This identity too I doubted, though I agreed with GB that the v.c.90 bushes were the same taxon as the v.c.91 ones. In 2002 I enlisted another expert, Prof. Heinrich Weber in Germany, and sent him Catterline and Crawton specimens. He had a paper on *anglocandicans* in preparation (Evans & Weber, 2003) and was definite. In a letter (6 May 2002) he wrote “Unknown to me, probably not named yet and just a local thing”. He added that on the continent this requires a distribution area of about 40-50 km diameter.

I had also sent similar sheets collected on the same day in both vice-counties to DA, but he wrote back (21 Aug 2003) saying that after inspecting the BM’s folder for *anglocandicans* he felt that the wide variation contained in it, e.g. in whiteness of leaf undersides, didn’t preclude the new sheets belonging there. DA considered that the two sheets from the Dundee area, particularly the one from Duntrune Dam, were the most similar to *anglocandicans*, but he added that with herbarium material the size of flowers was less useful as a separation criterion.

With such different and changing opinions on the identity of these big-flowered brambles, the possibility that they were hybrids, and the small recent advances in dealing with the variability in the Corylifolii and Discolores bramble groups, I decided that I ought to make available a description of the taxon, treating it as a new microspecies. I held back from writing such a paper in the 2000s in the hope of finding more colonies or herbarium specimens from other places. Although two examples of the latter are quite convincing, and have been included in the location table and distribution map in this paper, they have little...
changed the taxon’s range. But with my description, batologists across a wider area of Britain may be able to recognise that they too have this taxon.

**Rubus longiflorus** D. Welch, **sp. nov.**

*Stems* mainly arching, though a few procumbent sometimes, usually sharply angled and deeply furrowed, red-purple or brown-purple, often shining, glabrous; *prickles* 8-12 per 5 cm, on the angles, 4-7 mm long, declining or hooked, red-purple or with yellowish point on bigger ones; *leaves* digitate or subpedate; *leaflets* (3-) 5, mid green above, glabrous and occasionally shiny, grey-felted beneath; *terminal leaflet* obovate-elliptical to sometimes roundish, c.8 x 6 cm, coarsely serrate with upper two-thirds sometimes weakly biserrate but lower third usually just very shallowly toothed, acuminate apex 0.5-1 cm, the petiolule about one third as long as lamina; petiolules of basal leaflets 1-3 mm; *petiole* about as long as basal leaflets, with 8-10 small curved prickles; *flowering branch* with several ternate leaves below and 1-2 simple leaves above, not leafy to the apex; *inflorescence* cylindrical and often long, with distant few-flowered axillary branches below; *rachis* often flexuose, green, brown or reddish-purple, with many hairs above and few, usually small, curved prickles; *pedicels* with many hairs, some stellate, and a few small weak prickles; *flowers* 3-4 cm in diameter; *sepal* grey-green, densely hairy, short-pointed, mainly reflexed; *petals* 11-16 x 6-9 mm, white or occasionally slightly pinkish, broadly elliptical; *stamens* exceed styles, filaments white, *anthers* glabrous; *styles* green, young *carpels* hairy at tips; *fruit* moderate-sized. Flowering in July and August.

**HOLOTYPE:** Roadside, Crawton, Kincards., v.c.91, GR NO8742.8031, 14 July 2020. David Welch, **D. Welch herb.**, K56X.

**Paratype:** Roadside, Crawton, Kincards., v.c.91, GR NO877.803, 22 July 2003. David Welch, **BM, 000792157.**

**Discussion**

Extant colonies are spread 80 km from Dundee to Crawton, a hamlet south of Stonehaven, and the range would total 110 km if a specimen from Aberdeen in **BM** considered the same taxon by David Allen is included (Fig. 1). Judging from the 23 herbarium specimens I assembled from several collections at the 8 extant colonies (Table 1) between 1996 and 2020, and from the descriptions made of fresh material observed during the collections, no differences can be discerned between these colonies. The **DUE** specimen from Pitscandlie Hill has similar stem grooving and the same quite distinctive leaf shape and colouring; its big ternate leaf with truncate base, short apex and two gibbous lower leaflets, has very close counterparts in Crawton specimens. Photos below show flowering in a typical bush at Duntrune plus an inflorescence at Crawton (Fig. 2) and herbarium specimens from vigorous bushes at Duntrune and Crawton (Fig. 3).

The specimen from Pitscandlie was named *Rubus thyrsoideus* by the Corstorphines in 1915 probably using the bramble listing in Hooker’s 3rd edition (1884, but reprinted 1897). That name had been applied by C. Wimmer in the mid-1800s to brambles in Poland and north Germany which are now placed in the Discolores series. Rogers (1893) in his comprehensive account on British brambles had considered the species “doubtfully British”, but reinstated it from a specimen collected in 1895 from v.c.22 (Newton, 1977). In this 1977 paper Newton split off from Discolores a new taxon he named *R. anglocandicans* for brambles with coarsely serrate, white-felted leaves. But from the fuller description in Edees
& Newton (1988), *R. anglocandicans* differs from *R. longiflorus* in several key characters, notably flower size (petal size given as c.10 x 7 mm). The German expert, Heinrich Weber, was quite definite that the v.c.90 and 91 specimens were not *anglocandicans*, from studying the *thyrisoides*-type Discolores he had decided on a new species for forms with larger leaves grey-felted below, which he named *R. conothyrsoides* (Weber, 1977), so was well-qualified to judge.

Two more specimens collected by the Corstorphines from Pitscandlie Hill c.1915 are in **DUE**, one of which they also named *R. thyrisoides*, but the other *R. rusticanus*. That latter name (synonym *R. discolor*) had been applied by Rogers (1893) to Discolores forms with pink flowers and small leaves white-felted below, and Hooker (1884; 1897) made the same distinction from *thyrisoides*, this having white flowers and larger leaves, less white below. Alan Newton, visiting **DUE** in 1977, had written *R. ulmifolius* on the *rusticanus* sheet, but had baulked at specifying the other two. To me, although one (5249/3) fits *R. longiflorus* well, the other with very serrate leaves is puzzling (5249/2), and possibly could be a relic of a taxon that had been a parent in a hybridisation that had created *R. longiflorus*.

If a hybridisation between a Discolores and a Corylifolian species had produced *R. longiflorus*, then it must have occurred well before the 1910s when the Corstorphines were recording brambles, for the resulting taxon to have spread across its 80-km range. But I do not believe that *R. longiflorus* has this origin rather than being a good long-standing species. I am much more convinced that another specimen (5243/16) collected by the Corstorphines from Melgund 5 km NE of Pitscandlie Hill is a hybrid since it has a strange inflorescence with long straggly branches and very few flowers. This was determined as *caesius x rusticanus* in 1915, and Alan Newton wrote *caesius x ulmifolius* on the sheet in 1977, but *caesius* has no records north of the Tay in eastern Scotland.
On the tricky question of which *Rubus* group to assign *R. longiflorus* to, it could be either Discolores or Corylifolii for a hybrid between taxa from each, but for a normal species I tentatively suggest Rhamnifolii. This series has species with furrowed glabrous stems, leaves grey-felted, and sometimes large flowers. And it was to that group that Alan Newton originally assigned the Catterline specimen.

Table 1. Occurrence of bushes and colonies of *Rubus longiflorus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Colony</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>VC</th>
<th>Extent 2003</th>
<th>Extent 2020</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dundee Ninewells</td>
<td>NO3684296 5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>c.6 sq m</td>
<td>Not Visited</td>
<td>Foot railway embankment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duntrune S</td>
<td>NO4341344 5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1 big bush</td>
<td>8 m length</td>
<td>SE verge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duntrune Dam field</td>
<td>NO4399349 5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>c.6 sq m</td>
<td>c.4 sq m</td>
<td>Unmanaged ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duntrune Dam</td>
<td>NO4402349 5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1 bush</td>
<td>Not Found</td>
<td>Bush removed c.2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duntrune N</td>
<td>NO444357</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1 big bush</td>
<td>30 m length</td>
<td>W verge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*Pitscandlie Hill</td>
<td>NO4953</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1 bush</td>
<td>1 bush</td>
<td>First seen 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catterline coast brae</td>
<td>NO8684781 6</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>80 m NE verge</td>
<td>85 m on 2 verges</td>
<td>1 bush now on SW verge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawton SE road</td>
<td>NO8777803</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 new bush 4m long</td>
<td>SW verge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawton SW road</td>
<td>NO8758804 9</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>10 m NW verge</td>
<td>Gone</td>
<td>Was at field entrance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawton SW road</td>
<td>NO8742803 1</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 new bush 3 m long</td>
<td>NW verge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawton NW road</td>
<td>NO8751807 8</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1 bush</td>
<td>Gone</td>
<td>SW verge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawton NW road</td>
<td>NO8744808 7</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td>1 new bush 4 m long</td>
<td>SW verge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>^Bridge of Don</td>
<td>NJ9409</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No suitable habitat now</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* DUE herbarium, 5249/3, collected 1915, R.H. & Mrs M. Corstorphine.

The habitats in v.c.90 and 91 of *R. longiflorus* are mainly roadsides (Table 1), but include a steep slope above the shore at Catterline. And at Duntrune Dam a vigorous bush had established in a small under-used field. The soils of these colonies do not seem unusual, so do not explain the present very restricted distribution of the new taxon. For v.c.91, I believe there is little chance of finding new colonies since the coast and even very minor roads near-by have been closely hunted for bramble species. But v.c.90 has a
considerable extent of low-ground potential habitat that has not been searched for Corylifolian or Discolores species, rather than *Rubus fruticosus* agg. Perhaps this paper will result in more colonies of *R. longiflorus* or potential parents, if it were a recent hybrid, being discovered.

*Figure 2. Flowering in Rubus longiflorus. Top: bush at Duntrune N, viewed 13 August 2013; Bottom: inflorescence at Crawton SW, viewed 14 July 2020.*
Figure 3. Herbarium sheets from Crawton SW (left, gathered 14 July 2020) and Duntrune S (right, gathered 22 July 2003). Ageing of the latter has caused the green to darken, this having most affected the single lower leaflet of the right-hand upside-down leaf.
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